· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Intervi w Summary	08/951,630	VANCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thach H Bui	3628
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Thach H Bui.	(3) <u>Jeff Pwu</u> .	
(2) <u>Christopher Day</u> .	(4) Jets Berkowit	
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2003</u> .		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 99 1.98		
Identification of prior art discussed: Acebo et al. (v.s. Patent No 6,023,679) + Shoolery et al. (5,570,28		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ⋈ was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>SEE</u> BELOW.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
- frompting a user to indicate at least one new travel date; selecting the		
frequent top record; Interactive	process; Decision	making features;

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

sclecting and displaying the bot of scheetin from the view.